



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/922,242	08/03/2001	Christopher W.B. Goode	DIVA/302	9090
56015	7590	08/09/2006	EXAMINER	
PATTERSON & SHERIDAN, LLP/ SEDNA PATENT SERVICES, LLC 595 SHREWSBURY AVENUE SUITE 100 SHREWSBURY, NJ 07702			LU, SHIRLEY	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2612	

DATE MAILED: 08/09/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

SK

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/922,242	GOODE, CHRISTOPHER W.B.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Shirley Lu	2612	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Shirley Lu. (3) \_\_\_\_.
- (2) \_\_\_\_\_. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 31 July 2006.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: \_\_\_\_\_.


Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_\_.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The limitations as argued have been previously addressed in the office action and therefore applicant's arguments are deemed not to be persuasive. Clarifying the Advisory Action --- Nothing that raises new issues because there were no changes/amendments to the claims. Rather, the only thing filed was essentially a R for Reconsideration. Prosecution is closed.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

  
 JEFFERY HOFSSASS  
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
 Examiner's signature, if required